



## **EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON STRENGTH AND DURABILITY PROPERTIES OF HYBRID FIBRE REINFORCED CONCRETE FOR M25 GRADE OF CONCRETE**

**Mr. B. Banu Balakrishna Bharath HOD<sup>1</sup>, B. Joshna PG SCHOLAR<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Shree Rama Educational Society Group Of Institutions, Tirupati.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Shree Rama Educational Society Group Of Institutions, Tirupati.

**Abstract:** Concrete will give better durability and also its costs during construction as well as maintenance are very low when compared to other construction materials. As we know that concrete is strong in construction and weak in tension and tends to fail because of its deficiencies such as low tensile strength, low strain at fracture. The weakness of concrete is due to the presence of micro cracks at mortar aggregate interface. In present experimental work for M25 grade of concrete can be designed according to IS 10262:2009 with four different proportions of hybrid fibers are added with concrete ingredients. The proportion of steel and polypropylene fibers are added by 50% each with different hybridization ratio i.e. 0%, 0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5% and steel fibers are added by volume of concrete and polypropylene is added by weight of cement. For strength parameters compressive, tensile, flexural, impact strength specimens are casted and cured for 28 days and tested for hardened concrete and for fresh concrete slump and compaction factor test is carried to know the workability of hybrid fiber reinforced concrete. For durability study Sorptivity test is carried out to know the absorption of water by capillary. To evaluate the strength parameters different tests are conducted and results are tabulated.

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Fiber reinforced concrete (FRC) is concrete obtained by the addition of fibers to concrete (short discrete fibers that are uniformly distributed). Fibers include steel fibers, glass fibers, synthetic fibers and natural fibers. Adding fibers to concrete greatly increases the toughness of the material. The use of fibers also alters the behavior of the fiber matrix composite after it has cracked, thereby improving its toughness. In the beginning, FRC was primarily used for pavements and industrial floors but currently, the FRC composite is being used for a wide variety of applications including bridges, tunnel and canal linings, hydraulic structures, pipes, explosion-resistant structures, safety vaults, cladding and roller compacted concrete.

### **II. DIFFERENT TYPES OF FIBERS**

Different type of fibers is manufactured with different technology. Every fiber has different properties with good strength parameters and most commonly used fibers in concrete are as follows.

1. Steel fiber.
2. Polypropylene fiber.
3. Nylons fiber.
4. Polyesters fiber.
5. Asbestos fiber.
6. Glass fiber.
7. Carbon fiber.

Steel fibers are probably the most widely used fibers for many applications, other types of fibers are more appropriate for special applications.

### III. HYBRID FIBER REINFORCED CONCRETE

Every Fiber has different strength characteristic and gives strength to concrete. When two different fibers added to concrete to make the composite structure gives maximum strength to concrete that type of concrete is hybrid fiber reinforced concrete (HFRC). Addition of fibers like steel and polypropylene, steel and glass, glass and polypropylene, steel and polyester etc., these are hybrid ratio of HFRC with different mix proportion and variation of fibers in concrete. By using HFRC the concrete become stronger because of the fibers which we added they may have good in tensile strength, crack resistance, avoids initial cracks, shrinkage of concrete may be reduced.

### IV. MATERIALS

Materials used in the project and the various tests conducted on them and also along with methodology of mix proportion with various proportions of addition of steel fibers in the concrete. In this chapter properties of the materials which are used for the project are discussed and also along with their permissible limits according to the standards. The place from where the materials are taken also mentioned. The following are the materials used in the experimental work.

1. Cement.
2. Fine aggregate.
3. Coarse aggregate.
4. Water.
5. Steel fibers.
6. Polypropylene fibers.

#### Percentage variation of fibers in mix:

The proportions of fibers used in concrete mix are at percentage of 0.5%, 1%, 1.5% and for each proportion equal quantity (50% of each) of fibers are added in the m

Percentage of fiber added in overall concrete mix (%)	Steel Fibers by Volume of Concrete (%)	Polypropylene Fibers by Weight of Cement (%)
0	0	0
0.5	0.25	0.25
1	0.50	0.50
1.5	0.75	0.75

### V. EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY

To study the strength parameters of concrete it's necessary to conduct the certain tests on concrete. Concrete can be tested in fresh state as well as in hardened state with different mix proportion of fibers.

#### TESTS ON CONCRETE

**HARDENED CONCRETE:**

1. Compressive strength.
2. Split tensile strength.
3. Flexural strength.
4. Impact test.
5. Sorpativity test.

**FRESH CONCRETE:**

1. Slump cone test.
2. Compaction factor.

**VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

From the present work of experimentation results of all the parameters are calculated and tabulated below.

**COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH TEST RESULTS**

Sl.no	Percentage of Steel fiber	Percentage of Polypropylene fiber	% Hybrid fibers	Compressive strength at 28 days (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage increase in strength
1.	0	0	0	29.56	0 %
2.	0.25	0.25	0.5	32.74	10.75%
3.	0.50	0.50	1	37.62	27.26%
4.	0.75	0.75	1.5	39.55	33.79%

**Table 1 Test results of compressive strength**

**Figure 1 Graph showing the results of compressive strength of HFRC.**

From the above fig 1 plainly at 0.5% expansion of filaments the compressive quality is 32.74 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. As the rate of strands is expanded to 1 % and to 1.5 % the compressive quality is 37.62 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 39.55 N/mm<sup>2</sup> separately. From this we can presume that as there is an augmentation in the fiber content there is additionally an addition in the compressive quality. In this way compressive quality increments with the expansion of expansion of filaments in the blend. At the point when contrasted and controlled cement the expansion in the compressive quality with fiber expansion in rates of 0.5%, 1%, 1.5% is 10.75%, 27.26%, 33.79% individually.

**VII. TENSILE STRENGTH TEST RESULTS**

Sl.no	Percentage of Steel fiber	Percentage of Polypropylene fiber	% Hybrid fibers	Split Tensile strength at 28days (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage increase in strength
1.	0	0	0	2.71	0
2.	0.25	0.25	0.5	2.46	9.22 %
3.	0.50	0.50	1	3.39	25.09 %
4.	0.75	0.75	1.5	3.96	46.12 %

**Table 2 Test results of tensile strength.**





Figure 2 Graph showing the results of split tensile strength of HFRC.

From the above fig 2 plainly at 0.5% expansion of filaments the elasticity is 2.46 N/mm<sup>2</sup> and at 0.5 % expansion of strands there is declarations in quality contrast with traditional cement i.e 2.71N/mm<sup>2</sup>.As the rate of strands is expanded to 1 % and to 1.5 % the split rigidity is 3.39 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 3.96 N/mm<sup>2</sup> individually. From this we can infer that for 0.5% expansion of filaments there is lessening in results from there on expansion of strands i.e 1%,1.5% there may increment in quality When contrasted and controlled cement the increment in the split elasticity with fiber expansion in rates of 0.5%, 1%, 1.5% is 9.22%, 25.09%, 46.12% individually.


### FLEXURAL STRENGTH TEST RESULTS

Sl.no	Percentage of Steel fiber	Percentage of Polypropylene fiber	% Hybrid fibers	Flexural strength at 28 days (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage increase in strength
1.	0	0	0	3.90	0 %
2.	0.25	0.25	0.5	4.25	8.97 %
3.	0.50	0.50	1	4.68	20 %
4.	0.75	0.75	1.5	5.20	33.33%

Table 3 Test results of Flexural Strength



**Test results of flexural strength**




*Figure 3 Graph showing the results of flexural strength of HFRC.*

From the above fig 3 plainly at 0.5% expansion of strands the flexural quality is 4.25 N/mm<sup>2</sup> As the rate of filaments is expanded to 1 % and to 1.5 % the flexural quality is 4.68 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 5.20 N/mm<sup>2</sup> separately. From this we can presume that as there is an addition in the fiber content there is likewise an augmentation in the flexural quality. Hence flexural quality increments with the expansion of expansion of filaments in the blend. At the point when contrasted and controlled cement the expansion in the flexural quality with fiber expansion in rates of 0.5%, 1%, 1.5% is 8.97%, 20%, 33.33% individually.

**IMPACT STRENGTH TEST RESULTS**

S.No	Percentage of Steel fiber	Percentage of Polypropylene fiber	% Hybrid fibers	impact strength at first crack no of blows (28 days)	impact strength at failure no of blows (28 days)
1.	0	0	0	10	34
2.	0.25	0.25	0.5	13	51
3.	0.50	0.50	1	19	87
4.	0.75	0.75	1.5	24	125

*Table 4 test results of impact test.*



*Figure 4 Graph showing the results of impact strength of HFRC.*

From the above fig 4 obviously at as the rate of strands expands the no of blows required to disappointment the example additionally increments. From this we can infer that as there is an

augmentation in the fiber content there is likewise an addition in the effect valve or quality. In this manner sway quality increments with the expansion of expansion of filaments in the blend. At the point when contrasted and controlled cement the expansion in the effect quality with fiber expansion in rates of 0.5%, 1%, 1.5% separately.

### SORPTIVITY TEST RESULTS

Sl. No	Percentage of fibers (%)	Dry weight in grams	Wet weight in grams	Sorptivity value in $10^{-7} \text{mm}/\text{min}^{0.5}$
1	0	8018	8023	4.016
2	0.5	8757	8762	4.016
3	1.0	8784	8794	8.033
4	1.5	8846	8856	9.676

Table 5 result of sorptivity test.




Figure 5 Graph showing the results of Sorptivity test of HFRC.

In the above fig 5 as expansion of filaments is expanding there is a diminishing in the droop values. It is so in light of the fact that as the filaments are included the draining will be lessened and the blend will get to be cruel. From this we can infer that as the rate of fiber substance is expanded the workability will be diminished.

### SLUMP AND COMPACTION FACTOR RESULTS

Sl.no	% of fibers	Slump values in mm	Compaction factor
1	0	96	0.95
2	0.5	92	0.92
3	1.0	87	0.90
4	1.5	80	0.89

Table 6 Results of slump and compaction factor tests.




Figure 6 Graph showing the slump cone values of HFRC.

In the above fig 6 as addition of fibers is increasing there is a decrease in the slump values. It is so because as the fibers are added the bleeding will be reduced and the mix will become harsh. From this we can conclude that as the percentage of fiber content is increased the workability will be decreased.




Figure 7Graph showing compaction factor results of HFRC

It is seen from the above chart that as the fiber content in the blend build compaction element values diminishes. From this we can reason that the workability of the blend diminishes as the fiber content in the solid increments.

## VIII. CONCLUSIONS

From my experimental examination I finished up the accompanying focuses.

1. There is change in Compressive quality of HFRC contrast with traditional cement on account of expansion of strands. The greatest increment in compressive quality saw at having mixture proportion 1.5 % i.e. 0.75 % steel fiber and 0.75 % polypropylene fiber and When contrasted and controlled cement the expansion in the compressive quality with fiber expansion in rates of 0.5%, 1%, 1.5% is 10.75%, 27.26%, 33.79% separately.
2. Tensile quality might be abatement for the proportion 0.5 % of filaments contrast with ordinary cement, from that point it might increment in rigidity and half and half proportion having 1.5% gives greatest quality contrast with other extent. From this we can infer that for 0.5% expansion

of strands there is decline in results from that point expansion of filaments i.e 1%,1.5% there may increment in quality When contrasted and controlled cement the expansion in the split elasticity with fiber expansion in rates of 0.5%, 1%, 1.5% is 9.22%, 25.09%, 46.12% separately.

3. Flexural quality might be most extreme for mixture proportion 1.5% thinks about to customary cement. From this we can reason that as there is an augmentation in the fiber content there is likewise an addition in the flexural quality. In this way flexural quality increments with the expansion of expansion of strands in the blend. At the point when contrasted and controlled cement the expansion in the flexural quality with fiber expansion in rates of 0.5%, 1%, 1.5% is 8.97%, 20%, 33.33% separately.
4. Impact quality of HFRC increments as the rate of strands expands the no of blows required to disappointment the example additionally increments. Along these lines sway quality increments with the expansion of expansion of filaments in the blend. At the point when contrasted and controlled cement the expansion in the effect quality with fiber expansion in rates of 0.5%, 1%, 1.5% separately.
5. Slump cone valves is diminishing with Addition of filaments is expansions. It is so in light of the fact that as the strands are included the draining will be decreased and the blend will get to be unforgiving. From this we can reason that as the rate of fiber substance is expanded the workability will be diminished. As the rate increment in filaments the compaction variable qualities diminishes. From this we can infer that the workability of the blend diminishes as the fiber content in the solid increments.
6. Sorptivity will be more as the rate of strands expansion is increment. From results we can reason that 0.5% expansion of cross breed filaments gives same Sorptivity valve contrast with customary cement.
7. The ideal rate of filaments expansion is 1.5%. Expansion of strands up to 1.5% gives best results in all quality parameters contrast with other blend extent.

### **REFERENCES**

- I. Selina ruby g., geethanjali c., jaison varghese, p. Muthu priya“Influence of Hybrid Fiber on Reinforced Concrete”International Journal of Advanced Structures and Geotechnical Engineering ISSN 2319-5347, Vol. 03, No. 01, January 2014.
- II. S.C.Patodi, C.V. Kulkarni “Performance Evaluation Of Hybrid Fiber Reinforced Concrete Matrix” International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications Vol. 2, Issue5, September- October 2012, pp.1856-1863.
- III. Wakchaure M. R., Rajebhosale S. H., Satpute M. B., Kandekar S. B, “Comparison of compressive strength and flexural shear strength for hybrid fiber reinforced concrete with controlled concrete” ,International Journal of Engineering and Technical Research (IJETR) ISSN: 2321-0869, Volume-02, Issue-09, September 2014.
- IV. Avinash Gornale, S Ibrahim Quadri, S Mehmood Quadri, Syed Md Akram Ali, Syed Shamsuddin Hussaini “strength aspects of Glass fiber reinforced concrete” International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 3, Issue 7, July-2012 1 ISSN 2229-5518.
- v. A SivakumaR et.al, “Influence of hybrid fiber on the post crack performance of high strength concrete: part 1 experimental investigations” ISSN 2141-2634, Journal of Civil Engineering and Construction Technology Vol. 2(7), pp. 147-159, July 2011.
- VI. Chandra mouli K.et al, “STRENGTH PROPERTIES OF GLASS FIBRE CONCRETE” ISSN 1819-6608, ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, VOL. 5, NO. 4, APRIL 2010.



- VII. Mohammed Alias Yusof et al “Mechanical Properties of Hybrid Steel Fibre Reinforced Concrete with Different Aspect Ratio” ISSN 1991-8178,Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 5(7): 159-166, 2011.
- VIII. C. Selin Ravikumar et al investigated on “Glass Fibre Concrete: Investigation on Strength and Fire Resistant Properties “IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE) e-ISSN: 2278-1684, p-ISSN: 2320-334X, Volume 9, Issue 3 (Sep. - Oct. 2013).
- IX. Amit Rai, Dr. Y.P Joshi, “Applications and Properties of Fibre Reinforced Concrete”, International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, Vol. 4, Issue 5 (Version 1), May 2014.
- X. Divyeshkumar D. Paradava, Jayeshkumar Pitroda, “Utilization Of Artificial Fibres In Construction Industry: A Critical Literature Review”, International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT) – Volume 4 Issue 10 - Oct 2013