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Abstract— Coordinate Attached Storage, Network Attached Storage and Storage Area Network are the distinctive sort of systems administration and storerooms utilized as a part of various areas from little scale ventures to huge scale enterprises. Capacity territories arrange plate frameworks focused on organize which enables customers or servers to utilize the circle framework as a neighborhood plate. The speed of transmission of information to and from these frameworks is a critical component in measuring the accessibility and dependability of the administrations gave by the business. The excess of information is essential to give high information accessibility; this can be accomplished by having RAID (Redundant Array of Inexpensive Disks) techniques. This paper portrays the execution examination on RAID levels 0,1,5,6 and10 through IOR benchmark tests on SAN which is actualized utilizing ATA(Advanced Technology Attachment) over Ethernet convention AoE(ATA over Ethernet). Every one of these tests were performed on eight, 2-TB SAS (Serial Attached Storage) hard General Terms Capacity Area Network.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Attack administration apparatuses are utilized to arrange capacity on Storage Area Network. They fill in as an interface between the customer machine and the server which gives the head the freedom of getting to the capacity on the server and settling on how the information can be put away in a safe and a productive way. This undertaking goes for building up a nonexclusive device for dealing with the capacity on the server utilizing the idea of RAID. The task likewise plans to do the execution examination of the capacity utilizing the interface specified previously.

The security, availability and unwavering quality are essential since capacity framework has turned into a fundamental worry in the present business [1]. Any business esteems its information as one of its most vital resource. Consequently, overseeing capacity and guaranteeing proficient and blame tolerant appropriation of information over the capacity has happened to central significance. Along these lines, the interface produced for dealing with the capacity utilizing RAID can be a progressive approach in the business due to its non specific nature.

The entire thought of the undertaking is to build up a solitary interface that can take into account the requirements of setting up a Secure Shell association, dealing with the capacity of the server and doing execution examination all agreeing to the principles of the IOR benchmark test [2].

1.1 Storage Area Network

SAN stands for Storage Area Network. A storage area network is a specialized high-speed network that enables fast, cheap and reliable access among servers and external or independent storage resources. The primary purpose of Storage Area Network is to enable storage devices to communicate with computer systems and with each other [3]. It provides block-level storage that can be accessed by the applications running on any networked servers. SAN storage devices can disk-based devices, like RAID hardware. SANs are particularly helpful in failure-backup and disaster recovery settings. Within a SAN, data can be transferred from one storage to another without interacting with a server. This speeds up the backup process and eliminates the need to use server CPU cycles for backup.

Recognized as a high performing technology, SAN is considered as one of the best choices for storing large data because of reliability, security and availability provided by it [1]. The backup software is connected to the storage infrastructure provided by the storage vendors through the SAN. Also, many SANs use Fiber channel technology or other networking protocols that allow the networks to span longer distances geographically. That makes it more feasible for companies to keep their backup data in remote locations [4].
The diagram in Figure 1[3] shows an overview of a SAN that connects multiple servers to multiple storage systems, all of which are interconnected by networks and LANs. The clients having different operating systems are connected to a network or LAN. The network is further connected to Storage Area Network. When the client sends the data to be stored through the network, it is distributed on the RAID levels configured on the storage in SAN with the help of the controller.

Information recuperation when contrasted with a broken spread over volume [1].

There are few points of interest of RAID 0. It offers awesome execution, both in read and compose operations. There is no overhead caused by equality controls. Whole stockpiling limit is utilized with no overhead and it's anything but difficult to execute. Notwithstanding this there is a drawback i.e. zero resilience against drive disappointments. Along these lines, it ought not be utilized for mission basic frameworks [6].

Figure 2 portrays the schematic illustration of RAID 0[1]. The chart plainly expresses that the information is disseminated in type of pieces which are put away on the drives with no repetition. It is utilized for non basic information stockpiling that must be perused/composed at high speeds, for example, picture modifying or video altering frameworks.

RAID 0 (striping): RAID 0 comprises of striping without reflecting or equality. The limit of a RAID 0 volume is the aggregate limits of the circles in the set, the same as with a crossed volume. There is no additional repetition for gambling plate disappointments, similarly as with a spread over volume. Disappointment of one circle causes the loss of the whole RAID 0 volumes, with lessened potential outcomes of

![Figure 2. Schematic Diagram of RAID 0](image-url)

RAID 1(mirroring): This setup comprises of information reflecting, without equality or striping. Same information is composed to (at least two) drives, along these lines delivering a reflected arrangement of drives. The exhibit keeps on working as long as no less than one drive is working. In the event that a drive falls flat, the controller utilizes either the information drive or the mirror drive for information recuperation and proceeds with operation. No less than two drives are required for RAID 1[1].

The benefits of having RAID 1 is that, it offers effective read speed and compose speed that can be contrasted with that of a solitary drive. In case of disappointment, information does not need to be revamped, they simply must be duplicated to the substitution drive. Notwithstanding this it's an exceptionally straightforward innovation to actualize. The principle inconvenience of RAID is the viable stockpiling limit which drops to half of the aggregate drive limit since all information get composed twice. Programming RAID 1 arrangement don't generally permits excess of a fizzled drive i.e. it can't be supplanted while the server keeps running[6].

Figure 3 demonstrates the schematic graph of RAID 1[1]. The graph obviously expresses that the information is put away in type of squares in the capacity and a duplicate of each piece is kept up to give the excess. It is utilized for mission basic stockpiling, for example, bookkeeping frameworks. It is likewise appropriate for littler servers in which just two information drives are to be utilized.
RAID 5(Striping with Parity):

It comprises of square level striping with circulated equality. It requires that all drives however one be available to work. Endless supply of a solitary drive, resulting peruses can be ascertained from the dispersed equality with the end goal that no information is lost. Attack 5 requires no less than three plates. Attack 5 is the most well-known secure RAID level. The equality information are not composed to a settled drive, they are spread over all drives. Utilizing the equality information the PC can recalculate the information of one of the other information pieces, if those information squares are inaccessible. That implies RAID 5 can withstand a solitary drive disappointment without losing information or access to information. Frequently additional reserve memory is utilized on these controllers to enhance the compose execution [1].

Designing RAID 5 can be favorable. Read information exchange are greatly quick while compose information exchanges are moderately slower because of equality which must be ascertained. In the event that one drive bombs, still all information can be gotten to, even while the fizzled drive is being supplanted and the capacity controller revamps the information on the new drive. Since this is an unpredictable innovation, in the event that one of the plates in an exhibit falls flat and is supplanted, reestablishing the information may take a day or longer relying upon the heap on the cluster and the speed of the controller. In the event that another circle turns sour amid that time, information are lost until the end of time.

Figure 4 demonstrates the schematic chart of RAID 5[4]. The acquaintance of equality square includes with the adaptation to internal failure if any of the information piece disappear. It is a general decent framework that joins proficient capacity with superb security and good execution. It is perfect for record and application servers that have a predetermined number of information drives.

RAID6 (Striping with twofold Parity):

It comprises of square level striping with twofold dispersed equality [1]. Strike 6 resembles RAID 5 yet it composes the equality information to two drives. That implies it requires no less than four drives and can withstand two drive disappointments at the same time. This makes bigger RAID bunches more reasonable, particularly for high-accessibility frameworks, as huge limit drives take more time to reestablish. Similarly as with RAID 5, a solitary drive disappointment brings about diminished execution of the whole exhibit until the fizzled drive has been supplanted. With a RAID 6 exhibit, utilizing drives from numerous sources and makers, it is conceivable to alleviate the majority of the issues related with RAID 5[4].

Like the RAID 5, read exchanges are quick. On the off chance that two drives come up short, the client still approaches all information, even while the fizzled drives are being supplanted. So RAID 6 is more secure than RAID 5. Drive disappointments affect throughput, still it is worthy. When contrasted with RAID 5 compose information exchanges are backed off because of the equality that must be ascertained. Nearness of a twofold equality makes it a mind boggling innovation; hence, revamping a drive can take quite a while.

Figure 5 demonstrates the schematic outline of RAID 6. It can be noticed that it utilizes twofold equality and subsequently gives preferable excess over RAID 5. Strike 6 is a general decent framework that joins effective capacity with superb security and not too bad execution. It is ideal over RAID 5 in record and application servers that utilization numerous huge drives for information stockpiling.
RAID 10 (Combining reflecting and striping): It is conceivable to incorporate the preferences and weaknesses of RAID 0 and RAID 1 out of one single framework. This is a cross breed or settled RAID design. It gives security by reflecting all information on optional drives while utilizing striping over each arrangement of drives to accelerate information exchanges [6].

The real preferred standpoint of having RAID10 is, if something turns out badly with one of the plates in a RAID 10 setup, the remake time is quick since all that is required is replicating every one of the information from the surviving mirror to another drive. This can take as meager as 30 minutes for drive of 1 TB. This likewise accompanies an exchange off, since the idea of reflecting is being utilized, half of the capacity limit goes to reflecting, so contrasted with extensive RAID 5 or RAID 6 exhibits, this isn’t a practical approach to have repetition.

The chart in figure 6 demonstrates the schematic design of RAID 10. It can be viewed as a blend of two effectively accessible RAIDs i.e. Assault 1, RAID 0. The information initially gets stripped and after that reflected

The graph in Figure 7 indicates how the RAID clusters function pair with Storage Area Network, alongside various sort of working frameworks used to design the RAID. The customer gets to the Storage Area Network through conventions, for example, Fiber Channel Protocol or iSCSI and begins a session with it. The capacity which is available on the SAN is overseen by either equipment or programming RAID controllers show on the server, which arranges RAID levels on the capacity and is likewise in this way in charge of the information dissemination on these plates.

II. EXECUTION MODEL

The entire framework is planned in Python and its variations. The UI is coded in Python alongside the essential modules. The charge line interface is connected to a front end, coded in python which is exhibited to the client. Pycharm is a product improvement unit that backings Python for actualizing models and has a solid base for scripting and outlining. Figure 8 demonstrates the framework design of the interface created.

The customer must set up a SSH association with the SAN server at the capacity exhibit. The layer can associate over basic exchange conventions to the server and customer can design port numbers or IP addresses through which the correspondence can occur. The Linux based working framework Centos 6.5 is a driven piece of nature of capacity streamlined working framework. Square gadgets alluded to here are straightforwardly connected gadgets to the host machine or remotely joined square gadgets which can be assigned as virtual square gadgets. SATA, SAS, SCSI, FC circles are the different upheld physical piece gadgets. Assault volumes executed in this task additionally fall under the assignment of physical
piece gadgets. The upper layers of this engineering allude to these remote piece stockpiling gadgets. The remote square gadgets got to utilizing the conventions iSCSI, AOE or FCOE conventions are alluded to as virtual piece gadgets. There is no contrast between physical or virtual piece gadgets as indicated by the upper layers of Dynamic Volume Aggregation, programming RAID and remote square replication layer.

Piece gadgets specified in the lower layers can be accumulated utilizing the conventions used to arrange RAID by the product RAID administration layer. The replication done at the piece level is done over TCP/IP convention which is secured by the SSH built up on the system.

The product RAID encourages the client to deal with the conglomerate of different physical and virtual piece gadgets. This builds the usable limit, execution of square I/O and furthermore gives multi overlap accessibility and dependability. The different RAID setups conceivable utilizing the interface created are RAID 0, RAID 1, RAID 6 and RAID (1+0).

The RAIDs designed are assembled together into capacity pools by the dynamic volume conglomeration. These capacity pools are gathered into different intelligent volumes which are the ones that are essentially and physically noticeable to the head or the client.

These volumes that are noticeable to the overseer can bolster numerous ODF (on-circle File System). The overseer in the wake of making RAIDs can apply record framework to it that promptly fulfills the necessities of particular applications and is ideal for doing execution examination. The document framework utilized as a part of this undertaking is the ext4 record framework. Aside from this, XFS and ext3 can likewise be utilized. These are alluded to as journaled record framework which has a more prominent information security and furthermore diminishes consistent document framework check needs. Any information which is composed to these plates is first signed into log records which here are alluded to as diaries which are appeared in the Figure 9, preceding the information being composed is concluded. This is the manner by which information any plates or crude volumes. Piece gadgets can be consistency is dealt with, if a framework gets slammed or there is a sudden power blackout.

### III. TEST ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

#### 3.1 Evaluation Metric

The nonexclusive interface was created in this undertaking with the principle goal of computerizing the procedure of RAID setup and subsequently playing out the IOR benchmark test on it. IOR is utilized for testing execution of parallel record frameworks utilizing different interfaces and access designs. The important parameters to be considered while playing out the IOR tests were the BLOCK SIZE and TRANSFER SIZE, which were to be given as parameters. It is vital for the piece size to be a numerous of the exchange estimate in light of the fact that the lump measure exchanged without a moment's delay is the exchange estimate.

#### 3.2 Experimental Dataset

The dataset on which the test was led comprised of eight 2 TB SATA hard drives. While arranging the RAID, after the RAID is made it should be mounted on the framework. For mounting the RAID, the document arrangement of the designed RAID should be determined on the grounds that every single mounted hard drive needs a record framework to make, oversee and permit the perusing and composing operations of different records to be performed on it. Mounting is important in light of the fact that it makes a particular mount point which fills in as area of test.dat record which is perused while laying out the IOR benchmark test. This test.dat document fills in as a noteworthy informational index for the trial investigation. This record is a twofold document which is composed to and perused from the RAID level designed, and is broke down for giving execution consequences of different RAIDS. The information acquired in the wake of playing out the tests were additionally put away in different log records on the server, which filled in as further dataset for the last test examination that should be performed.

#### 3.3 Performance Analysis

![Figure 9: logging of the data into journals](image)
IOR can be utilized for testing execution of parallel document frameworks utilizing different interfaces and access designs. IOR utilizes MPI (Message Passing Interface) for process synchronization.

All RAID levels were designed on two 2TB Serial ATA 7200rpm undertaking release 6 gbps drives. Subsequent to mounting the RAID, IOR tests were performed with various esteems for the parameters Block Size and Transfer Rate.

The Figure 10 demonstrates the GUI of the non specific interface created. At whatever point the client needs to play out some operation he should choose the choice and tap on "Execute" catch.

![Figure 10: Snapshot of User GUI](image)

In the wake of playing out the IOR tests on various RAID levels with various piece sizes and exchange rates the outcomes are arranged as appeared in Table 1. The outcomes demonstrate the normal most extreme read and compose speeds for each of the RAID levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAID Level</th>
<th>Avg. Max Read Performance</th>
<th>Avg. Max Write</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1909.0836 Mbp</td>
<td>7061.8156 Mbp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1753.4112 Mbp</td>
<td>6182.516 Mbp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1721.0532 Mbp</td>
<td>6064.0756 Mbp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1622.1784 Mbp</td>
<td>5650.4436 Mbp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1+0)</td>
<td>1717.0695 Mbp</td>
<td>5939.5047 Mbp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimum</td>
<td>1909.0836 Mbp</td>
<td>7061.8156 Mbp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After the different IOR benchmark tests performed, it was watched that the most proficient RAID level was RAID 0 with the greatest perusing and composing esteems. This was additionally confirmed by the diagrams for MAX. Compose and MAX. READ in Figure 11, values which were at long last gotten after the investigation of the IOR benchmark tests. Another oddity that was seen by running these test on 7200rpm hard drives, which was the RAID 5 most extreme written work speed was more prominent than the maximum compose speed of RAID (1+0), when it is by and large lower, which is on the grounds that the quantity of drives for equality in RAID 5 is lower than in RAID (1+0) and is likewise reliant upon the rpms of the hard drives.

![Figure 11: Graph for MAX_WRITE and Max.READ](image)

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The objective of the undertaking is to build up a bland interface to encourage the connection between the SAN server and a host machine. This interface is interesting in a way in light of the fact that the vast majority of the interfaces created by the organizations like IBM, HP, and so forth don't enable outer operators to get to the hard drives. These interfaces just acknowledge the exclusive summons and don't enable the client to sidestep the controller. The
interface specified in this venture enables client to get to the drives independent of the gadget drivers utilizing the open source orders and enables them to oversee it abstracting the foundation subtle elements. The RAIDs are arranged on the SAN and execution testing is finished utilizing benchmark apparatuses.

The association between the customer and the server was built up utilizing a SSH association. This was accomplished utilizing Paramiko which is a python usage of the SSHv2 convention. The RAIDs were arranged utilizing the mdadm summons inserted in the python content. These summons associated with the interface to arrange the capacity on the server. Attacks designed were tried for execution utilizing IOR benchmark test. The charges and parameters for playing out this test were installed in the python content which communicated with the interface created and accordingly played out the required tests. When every one of the outcomes are accumulated together, examination is done to locate the most productive RAID level.

As future work one can take a shot at the accompanying ideas:

1. As of now this undertaking includes utilizing Software RAID controller which can be supplanted by a Hardware RAID controller for better execution clubbed with more prominent rpm hard drives.

2. Utilizing stockpiling which has an electronic component, for example, pen drives rather than drives which have a mechanical shaft; the execution can be expanded impressively.

3. The honesty of information put away in the drives ought to be kept up regardless of whether every one of the drives fall flat. This incorporates the security of information, going down the information before changing the RAID levels.
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